

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/657,757	BOOTS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patrick J. Nolan	1644	

All Participants:

 (1) Patrick J. Nolan.

 (2) William Ramey.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 22 July 2003
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

16

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant agreed to amend claim 16 by the insertion of mucosally to overcome the 112 ist paragraph rejection and amend the first page of the specification to recite the relationship between the 09/171,705 application and the instant application.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)